## Physical Organic Chemistry of Transition Metal Carbene Complexes. 12.<sup>1</sup> Spectroscopic Detection and Kinetic Characterization of the Intermediate in the Nucleophilic Substitution Reaction of $(CO)_5Cr=C(OCH_3)$ Ph with Thiolate Ions in Aqueous Acetonitrile

Claude F. Bernasconi,\* Francis X. Flores, and Kevin W. Kittredge

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of California, Santa Cruz, California 95064

Received April 15, 1998

Fischer-type carbene complexes undergo facile nucleophilic substitution by the two-step mechanism illustrated in eq 1 for the reaction of a prototype carbene complex.<sup>2</sup> The evidence for

$$(CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} OR \\ + Nu^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} OR \\ -C \\ -R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C \begin{pmatrix} Nu \\ + RO^{-} \\ R' \end{pmatrix} (CO)_{5}M = C$$

this mechanism has mostly been based on analogy with reactions of carboxylic esters. However, direct observation of **5-M** in the reaction of **4-M** with MeO<sup>-</sup> in methanol has recently been reported<sup>3</sup> and kinetic evidence for **6-Cr** in the reaction of **4-Cr** 

$$(CO)_5M = C \xrightarrow{OCH_3} \qquad \begin{array}{c} OCH_3 & OCH_3 \\ (CO)_5\overline{M} - C - Ph \\ Ph & OCH_3 \\ 0 \\ OCH_3 \\ OCH_3$$

with primary aliphatic amines has been presented.<sup>4</sup> Furthermore, in the reaction of **7-Cr** with NaSPh in methanol/benzene, Lam *et al.*<sup>5</sup> observed conversion of **7-Cr** to an unidentified species which, upon treatment with HCl, yielded **8-Cr**. From this they inferred that the unidentified species is **9-Cr**.

$$(CO)_5Cr = C \begin{pmatrix} OCH_3 \\ CH_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ (CO)_5Cr = C \begin{pmatrix} SPh \\ CH_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ (CO)_5Cr = C \begin{pmatrix} OCH_3 \\ CH_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ (CO)_5\bar{Cr} - C - CH_3 \\ SPh \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{SPh}{SPh} \\ \frac{SPh}{SPh} \\ \frac{SPh}{SPh} \end{pmatrix}$$

In this communication, we report the first direct spectroscopic observation and kinetic characterization of the intermediate in a "real" substitution reaction, i.e., one where the products are different from reactants.<sup>6</sup> It is the reaction of **4-Cr** with  $CH_2CH_2S^-$  and  $HOCH_2CH_2S^-$  in 50% MeCN-50% water (v/v), eq 2. Figure 1 shows absorption spectra of **4-Cr** in the

4-Cr+RS<sup>-</sup> 
$$\xrightarrow{k_1}$$
 (CO)<sub>5</sub> $\overrightarrow{Cr}$   $\xrightarrow{Cr-Ph}$   $\xrightarrow{k_2 + k_2^Ha_{H'}}$  (CO)<sub>5</sub>Cr=C  $\xrightarrow{SR}$  + CH<sub>3</sub>O<sup>-</sup>  
SR 10-Cr 11-Cr (2)

presence of *n*-propanethiol in an *N*-methylmorpholine buffer at pH 7.38. This pH is well below the  $pK_a^{RSH} = 11.94$  of

(6) In contrast to the reaction of 4-M with MeO<sup>-</sup> that leads to the symmetrical 5-M.<sup>3</sup>



Figure 1. Conversion of 4-Cr (a) to 11-Cr (b) in the presence of  $4 \times 10^{-7}$  M CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup> in an *N*-methylmorpholine buffer at pH 7.38. Spectra taken every 5 s for 2 min. Spectrum c corresponds to 10-Cr generated in the presence of  $10^{-3}$  M CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup> at pH 11.0.

*n*-propanethiol and hence the concentration of the thiolate ion is small  $(4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M})$ . There is a clean conversion of **4-Cr** (a) to **11-Cr** (b) whose spectrum is identical to that of authentic **11-Cr**. The isosbestic point indicates that there is no accumulation of an intermediate (**10-Cr**) under these conditions. Similar observations were made for the reaction of **4-Cr** with HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup>.

A different situation arises with higher thiolate ion concentrations at a pH close to  $pK_a^{RSH}$  (Figure 1c). Here **4-Cr** is rapidly converted to a new species whose spectrum resembles neither that of **4-Cr** nor that of **11-Cr**. This species is stable for several minutes but upon acidification yields the product (**11-Cr**). We conclude that the new species is **10-Cr**.

Rates of the formation of **10-Cr** were measured by stoppedflow spectrometry. In the presence of excess thiolate ion, the observed pseudo-first-order rate constant showed a linear dependence on [RS<sup>-</sup>] according to eq 3 from which  $k_1$  could be

$$k_{\rm obsd} = k_1 [\rm{RS}^-] + k_{-1}$$
 (3)

obtained; on the other hand, the intercepts of the plots of  $k_{obsd}$  vs [RS<sup>-</sup>] were too small to yield accurate  $k_{-1}$  values.

Rates were also measured in *N*-morpholine buffers (HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup>) and triethylamine buffers (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup>) at different pH values. Under these conditions **10-Cr** is a steady-state intermediate. In each buffer a plot of  $k_{obsd}$  vs thiolate ion concentration is linear with pH-dependent slope and negligible intercept. A representative plot of 1/slope vs  $1/a_{H^+}$  is shown in Figure 2. These results are consistent with eq 4 derived for steady-state conditions; tests for buffer catalysis showed that it

slope = 
$$\frac{k_1 k_2 + k_1 k_2^{\text{H}} a_{\text{H}^+}}{k_{-1} + k_2 + k_2^{\text{H}} a_{\text{H}^+}}$$
 (4)

...

is negligible at low buffer concentrations. The linearity of the plot indicates that  $k_2$  for spontaneous loss of MeO<sup>-</sup> is negligible compared to  $k_2^H a_{\rm H^+}$  for acid catalysis so that eq 4, after inversion, simplifies to eq 5. Analysis according to eq 5 yields the  $k_1$  and  $k_2^H/k_{-1}$  values reported in Table 1. The agreement between the  $k_1$  values obtained via eqs 5 and 3 is excellent.

7983

<sup>(1)</sup> Part 11: Bernasconi, C. F.; Leyes, A. E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1997, 1641.

<sup>(2)</sup> Dötz, K. H.; Fischer, H.; Hofmann, P.; Kreissl, F. R.; Schubert, U.; Weiss, K. *Transitional Metal Complexes*; Verlag Chemie: Deerfield Beach, FL, 1983.

<sup>(3)</sup> Bernasconi, C. F.; Flores, F. X.; Gandler, J. P.; Leyes, A. E. Organometallics **1994**, *13*, 2186.

<sup>(4)</sup> Bernasconi, C. F.; Stronach, M. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 1341.
(5) Lam, C. T.; Senoff, C. F.; Ward, J. E. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 70, 273.



**Figure 2.** Plot of slope<sup>-1</sup> vs  $a_{H^+}^{-1}$  for the reaction **4-Cr** with HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup>.

$$\frac{1}{\text{slope}} = \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{k_{-1}}{k_1 k_2^{\text{H}} a_{\text{H}^+}}$$
(5)

In a third type of experiment **10-Cr** was generated in a thiolate ion solution at pH > 11 and then rapidly mixed in the stoppedflow apparatus with a series of *N*-methylmorpholine buffers at pH between 6.98 and 8.04. The  $k_{obsd}$  values showed a linear dependence on  $a_{H^+}$  according to eq 6, with  $k_{-1}$  being too small to yield an accurate value. This is consistent with the fact that

$$k_{\rm obsd} = k_2^{\rm H} a_{\rm H^+} + k_{-1} \tag{6}$$

the spectrum of the product is virtually identical with that of **11-Cr**, with no measurable contribution from **4-Cr**. These data yielded  $k_2^{\rm H}$ ; in conjunction with  $k_2^{\rm H}/k_{-1}$  this then allowed calculation of  $k_{-1}$  which, in combination with  $k_1$ , yielded  $K_1$  (Table 1).

With respect to the rate and equilibrium constants, the following points are noteworthy.

(1) The equilibrium constants ( $K_1 = k_1/k_{-1}$ ) for RS<sup>-</sup> addition to **4-Cr** are high, much higher than for MeO<sup>-</sup> addition in methanol ( $K_1 = 70.1 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ),<sup>3</sup> despite the lower proton basicity of the thiolate ions. This reflects the well-known high carbon basicity of sulfur bases which has been attributed to their high polarizability<sup>7</sup> or "softness".<sup>8</sup> The  $K_1$  values are comparable to  $K_1$  for HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup> addition to **12** (eq 7) in 50% DMSO-50% wate<sup>9</sup> (Table 1),

$$\frac{Ph}{MeO} = C \frac{NO_2}{Ph} + RS^- \underbrace{\frac{k_1}{k_{-1}}}_{S_R} MeO - C - C \frac{Ph}{S_R} \frac{NO_2^-}{Ph}$$
(7)

suggesting that stabilization of the negative charge in 10-Cr by the (CO)<sub>5</sub>Cr moiety is comparable to that in 13 by the C(NO<sub>2</sub>)Ph moiety.

(2) The  $k_1$  and  $k_{-1}$  values for the reaction of **4-Cr** with HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup> are about 2 orders of magnitude higher than for the reaction of **12** with the same nucleophile. With the  $K_1$  values being about the same for both reactions, this result indicates that the *intrinsic* rate constant,  $k_0$ ,<sup>10</sup> for the reaction of **4-Cr** is ~2 orders of magnitude higher than for the reaction of **12**. The reason

**Table 1.** Rate and Equilibrium Constants for the Reactions of **4-Cr** with Thiolate Ions in 50% MeCN-50% Water (v/v) at 25 °C

	$\mathrm{CH_3CH_2CH_2S^-} + 4\text{-}\mathbf{Cr}^a$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{S}^- \\ + \textbf{4-Cr}^a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{S}^- \\ + 12^b \end{array}$
$pK_{a}^{RSH}$	11.94	10.79	10.56
$k_{1}$ , $M^{-1}$ s <sup>-1</sup> c	$(1.34 \pm 0.07) \times 10^4$	$(2.25 \pm 0.09) \times 10^4$	$3.90 \times 10^2$
$k_1$ , $M^{-1} s^{-1 d}$	$(1.40 \pm 0.15) \times 10^4$	$(2.08 \pm 0.25) \times 10^4$	
$k_2^{\rm H}/k_{-1},{\rm M}^{-1}e$	$(4.29 \pm 0.93) \times 10^{8}$	$(6.98 \pm 1.24) \times 10^{7}$	
$k_2^{\tilde{H}}, M^{-1} s^{-1}$	$(5.39 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{8}$	$(4.42 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{8}$	
$k_{-1}$ , s <sup>-1</sup>	$1.26\pm0.28$	$6.33 \pm 1.10$	$5.10 \times 10^{-2}$
$K_1 = k_1 /$	$(1.06 \pm 0.24) \times 10^4$	$(3.55 \pm 0.63) \times 10^3$	$7.65 \times 10^{3}$
$k_{-1}, M^{-1}$			

<sup>*a*</sup> In 50% MeCN–50% H<sub>2</sub>O at 25 °C, this work. <sup>*b*</sup> In 50% DMSO– 50% H<sub>2</sub>O at 20 °C, ref 9. <sup>*c*</sup> From eq 3. <sup>*d*</sup> From eq 5. <sup>*e*</sup> From eq 5 using  $k_1$  from eq 3.

Scheme 1

RS<sup>-</sup> + sol 
$$\frac{k_d}{k_{-1}}$$
 RS<sup>-</sup> + sol  
RS<sup>-</sup> + 4-Cr  $\frac{k_1'}{k_{-1}}$  10-Cr

for this state of affairs in undoubtedly the same as the reason  $k_0$  for deprotonation of **7-Cr** by OH<sup>-</sup> is about 2 orders of magnitude higher than  $k_0$  for deprotonation of PhCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>11</sup> i.e., the resonance component that contributes to the stabilization of the anion derived from the Fischer carbenes is not quite as strong as that for nitronate ions.<sup>12</sup>

(3) The dependence of  $k_1$  on the basicity of the thiolate ion is unusual in that  $k_1$  for HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup> is slightly larger than that for CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup>, even though HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup> is *less* basic than CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup>. This suggests a negative  $\beta_{nuc}$  value of approximately -0.2. Negative  $\beta_{nuc}$  values are rare but not without precedent.<sup>14</sup> According to Jencks,<sup>14</sup> they may result from the requirement for partial desolvation of the nucleophile prior to reaction, as illustrated in Scheme 1. In terms of Scheme 1, the experimental  $k_1$  value corresponds to  $K_dk_1$  and hence  $\beta_{nuc}(obsd)$ =  $d(\log k_1)/d(pK_a^{RSH}) = d(\log(K_dk_1))/d(pK_a^{RSH}) = d(\log K_d)/$  $d(pK_a^{RSH}) + d(\log k_1)/d(pK_a^{RSH}) = \beta_d + \beta'_{nuc}$ . Since desolvation is more difficult for a more basic nucleophile,  $\beta_d < 0$ . If  $\beta'_{nuc}$  is small (early transition state),  $\beta_{nuc}(obsd)$  may be dominated by  $\beta_d$ and become negative.

(4) The dependence of  $K_1$  on  $pK_a^{\text{RSH}}$ , as measured by  $\beta_{\text{eq}} = d(\log K_1)/d(pK_a^{\text{RSH}}) = 0.41 \pm 0.17$  is quite small. Even though the experimental uncertainty is large,<sup>15</sup>  $\beta_{\text{eq}}$  is clearly smaller than unity, which is common for thiolate ion addition to electrophiles.<sup>9,16</sup>

(5) With respect to conversion of **10-Cr** to products, no  $k_2$  value for spontaneous expulsion of MeO<sup>-</sup> could be measured because this reaction is too slow to compete with decomposition of **10-Cr** into unidentified byproducts. However, at pH < 8 acidcatalyzed conversion of **10-Cr** to products  $(k_2^{\rm H})$  is a clean reaction. The  $k_2^{\rm H}$  value for the reaction of CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup> is slightly higher than with HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S<sup>-</sup>. This is consistent with increased "push" by the more basic CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>S group, due to the  $\pi$  donation by the sulfur atom.

## JA9812655

<sup>(7) (</sup>a) Sander, E. G.; Jencks, W. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1968**, 90, 6154. (b) Hine, J.; Weimar, R. D., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1965**, 87, 3387.

 <sup>(8)</sup> Pearson, R. G.; Songstad, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 1827.
 (9) Bernasconi, C. F.; Fassberg, J.; Killion, R. B.; Rappoport, Z. J. Am.

<sup>(9)</sup> Bernasconi, C. F.; Fassberg, J.; Klinon, K. B.; Kappoport, Z. J. An Chem. Soc. **1990**, 112, 3169.

<sup>(10)</sup> For a reaction with the forward rate constant  $k_1$  and the reverse rate constant  $k_{-1}$ , the intrinsic rate constant is defined as  $k_0 = k_1 = k_{-1}$  when  $K_1 = k_1/k_{-1} = 1$  ( $\Delta G^\circ = 0$ ).

<sup>(11)</sup> Bernasconi, C. F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1997, 26, 299.

<sup>(12)</sup> For a discussion of the relationship between intrinsic rate constants and resonance see ref 13.

<sup>(13) (</sup>a) Bernasconi, C. F. Acc. Chem. Res. **1987**, 20, 301. (b) Bernasconi, C. F. Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. **1992**, 27, 119.

<sup>(14)</sup> Jencks, W. P.; Haber, M. T.; Herschlag, D.; Nazaretian, K. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 479.

<sup>(15)</sup>  $\beta_{eq}$  is based on two points only and the  $K_1$  values have a large uncertainty.

 <sup>(16) (</sup>a) Bernasconi, C. F.; Killion, R. B., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110,
 7506. (b) Bernasconi, C. F.; Schuck, D. F. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2365.